	<u> </u>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/564,897	OKANO ET AL.
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit
	James R. Harvey	2833
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this apport or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>election dated 8-3-07</u>		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-3,6-10 and 14.		
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unal a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.		
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 		
* Certified copies not received:		
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.		
(a) \square including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948) attached
1) 🗌 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment or in the C	Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t	.84(c)) should be written on the drawii he header according to 37 CFR 1.121(ngs in the front (not the back) of d).
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.		
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5. Notice of Informal P	Patent Application
•		
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dat	te
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date <u>1-17-06</u> 	7. 🛭 Examiner's Amendr	ment/Comment
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛛 Examiner's Stateme	ent of Reasons for Allowance
o. Diological Material	9.	
•		

Art Unit: 2833

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species 3 in Paper dated 8-3-07 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the Species 1, 2 and 3 previously indicated by the examiner in papers dated 7-10-07 have figures that relate to only one species having figures 1a-d, 2a-b, 3a-b, 6 and 7a-b. The examiner agrees with the figures relating to a single Species.
- 2. Applicant's arguments concerning the Species containing figures 4 and 5 is seen to be based upon applicant's belief that the search and examination of the species relating to figures 4 and 5 would not be a burden. The offices position, as noted on page 4, line 11 of the previous office action, is that different Species having structure that employs different search queries are deemed to be a burden by the office. The contact in the through hole requires employing a different search queries and is therefore seen to be properly restricted.
- 3. Applicant's cancellation of claims 11-13 and withdrawal of claims 4,5 and 14 are seen to be made without pointing out supposed errors in the restriction requirement. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 4. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL
- 5. Claim 1 is generic and allowable. Accordingly, the restriction requirement as to the encompassed species is hereby withdrawn and claim 14, directed to the linking claim is no longer withdrawn from consideration since all of the claims to this species depend from or otherwise include each of the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

Application/Control Number:

10/564,897

Art Unit: 2833

Page 3

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement as to the linked

species, applicant(s) are advised that if any claim(s) depending from or including all the

limitations of the allowable generic linking claim(s) be presented in a continuation or divisional

application, such claims may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double

patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once a restriction requirement is

withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d

1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

6. This application is in condition for allowance except for the presence of claim(s) 4 and 5

directed to non-elected Species containing figures 4 and 5. This seen as an election that was

non-elected without traverse. Accordingly, claim(s) 4 and 5 has (have) been cancelled.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or

additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR

1.312.

To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment

of the issue fee.

In view of paragraph 6 above, the application has been amended as follows:

***** CANCEL CLAIMS 4 AND 5.

Reasons for Allowance

• The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

57.

The prior art does not show the first contact surfaces being aligned in a row, and the second contact surfaces being positioned alternately toward a front and rear, with respect to a direction of insertion of the first connector with the second connector in combination with all the other elements of the claim and the examiner knows of no permissible motivation to combine the prior art such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

• Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James R. Harvey whose telephone number is 571-272-2007. The examiner can normally be reached from 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley can be reached on 571-272-2800 extension 33.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2800.

- Applicant is encouraged to send correspondence through the USPTO fax number 571-273-8300.
- Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

 Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Application/Control Number:

10/564,897

Art Unit: 2833

Page 5

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/James Harvey/ James Harvey Primary Examiner

jrh October 28, 2007